

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/604,216	6 07/01/2003		David Fleishman	UMBP:110US	1215	
24041	7590	12/23/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SIMPSON & SIMPSON, PLLC 5555 MAIN STREET				WUJCIAK, ALFRED J		
WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
				3632		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/604,216	FLEISHMAN, DAVID				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Alfred Joseph Wujciak III	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 October 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	5) Claim(s) <u>13-23</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ —	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2003</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the final Office Action for the serial number 10/604,216, PICTURE HANGING SYSTEM, filed on 7/1/03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent # 6,327,803 to Ruderman.

Ruderman teaches an apparatus (figure 1) comprising a rod (12), a first frame (14) operatively arranged to display a first work of art. The first frame suspended from the rod b a first set of at least two wires (30). The first set of at least two wires slidably engages the rod. The first set of at least two wires rotatably engages the rod. The first set of at least two wires is fixedly secured to the first frame. Each of the at least two wires of the first set is of identical length.

Ruderman teaches the first frame but fails to teach the second frame having second set of wires secured from the rod. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have added additional frame and wires to rod to provide additional art or picture to display.

Art Unit: 3632

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/7/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's argument on page 2, where it states "wherein the second frame is secured interior of the first frame" is not cited in claim 1. Claim 1 cited that "wherein said second set of wires is secured to said rod interior to said first set of wires." The examiner used 103 rejection based on obviousness using Ruderman's invention to add additional set of wire on the rod to support more than one art or picture since Ruderman teaches the rod, first frame being suspended by at least two wires, the wires are slidably and rotatably engage with the rod and the two wires are in identical length of each other, the examiner is allowed to use the obvious rejection by adding additional wires to Ruderman's rod to provide additional support for supporting an additional art or picture.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-23 are allowed

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art fails to teach the at least two wire means operatively arranged to be suspended from the at least two ring means by the upper loops.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Art Unit: 3632

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Joseph Wujciak III whose telephone number is (703) 306-5994. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A Braun can be reached on 703 308 2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3632

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alfred Joseph Wujciak III Examiner

Art Unit 3632

ATU

12/16/04

LESLIE A. BRAUN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EYAMBI